ORIGINAL

	ORIGINAL		
		SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA SUPERIOR COURT OF SAN BERNARDINO SOUNTY OF SAN BERNARDING	
2	AEGIS LAW FIRM, PC Samuel A. Wong, State Bar No. 217104 Kashif Haque, State Bar No. 218672	SAN BERNARDING DISTANT	
3	Jessica L. Campbell, State Bar No. 280626 9811 Irvine Center Drive, Suite 100	MAY 05 2025	
4	Irvine, California 92618 Telephone: (949) 379-6250		
5	Facsimile: (949) 379-6251 Email: jcampbell@aegislawfirm.com	JOSEPH HERNANDEZ, DEPUTY	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Louis Ames and Jan	nes Guiffrida,	
7	individually and on behalf of all others simi	larly situated	
8			
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10			
11	FOR THE COUNT	TY OF SAN BERNARDINO	
12			
13	LOUIS AMES AND JAMES	Case No. CIVDS2018953	
14	GUIFFRIDA, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Assigned for all purposes to	
15		Hon. Christian Towns	
16	Plaintiffs,	Dept. S-26	
17	vs.	[PROPOSED] ORDER AND JUDGMENT GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS	
18	SAN ANTONIO REGIONAL HOSPITAL, a California corporation; and	AND PAGA ACTION SETTLEMENT	
19	DOES 1 through 20, inclusive,	Date: April 16, 2025	
20		Time: 8:30 a.m.	
21	Defendants.	Dept: S-26	
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	[PROTEIN] ORDER AND JUDGMENT GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS AND PAGA ACTION SETTLEMENT		

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28

This matter came on for hearing on April 16, 2025, at 8:30 a.m., in Department S-26 of the above-captioned Court on the Motion for Final Approval of Class and PAGA Action Settlement pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.769, this Court's Order Granting Preliminary Approval, and the Class and PAGA Action Settlement and Release ("Settlement Agreement"), a copy of which was filed in conjunction with the Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement.

Having received and considered the Settlement Agreement, the supporting papers filed by the Parties, and the evidence and argument received by the Court in conjunction with the unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement and the instant Motion for Final Approval, the Court grants final approval of the Settlement and HEREBY ORDERS AND MAKES THE FOLLOWING DETERMINATIONS:

- This Order hereby incorporates by reference the definitions of the Parties' 1. Settlement Agreement as though fully set forth herein, and all terms used herein shall have the same meaning as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 2. Pursuant to the Order Granting Preliminary Approval, a Class Notice was sent to each Class Member by first-class mail. The Class Notice informed the Class Members of the terms of the Settlement, their right to receive an individual settlement payments, their right (a) to comment on or object to the Settlement, (b) to request exclusion from the Settlement and pursue their own remedies, and (c) of their right to appear in person or by counsel at the Final Approval Hearing and to be heard regarding approval of the Settlement. Adequate periods of time were provided by each of these procedures. No Class Member filed written objection to the proposed Settlement as part of this notice process or stated an intention to appear at the Final Approval Hearing.
- 3. The Court finds and determines that this notice procedure afforded adequate protections to Class Members and provides the basis for the Court to make an informed decision regarding approval of the Settlement based on the responses of the Class Members. The Court finds and determines that the Class Notice provided in this case was the best notice practicable, which satisfied the requirements of law and due process.

- 4. With respect to the class and for purposes of approving this Settlement only, this Court finds and concludes that: (a) the Class Members are ascertainable and so numerous that joinder of all Class Members is impracticable; (b) there are questions of law or fact common to the Class Members, and there is a well-defined community of interest among Class Members with respect to the subject matter of the Action; (c) the claims of the Plaintiffs are typical of the claims of the Class Members; (d) the Plaintiffs have fairly and adequately protected the interests of the Class Members; (e) a class action is superior to other available methods for an efficient adjudication of this controversy; and (f) the counsel of record for the Plaintiffs, i.e., Class Counsel, are qualified to serve as counsel for Plaintiffs in their individual and representative capacities for the class.
- 5. Solely for purposes of effectuating the Settlement, the Court conditionally certified a class, as all current and former non-exempt employees of Defendant who worked in California at any time during the Class Period of January 25, 2018 through December 31, 2023, and the Court deems this definition sufficient for purposes of California Rule of Court 3.765(a).
 - 6. The Court finds the disputed opt out request sent by email is valid.
- 7. The Court hereby confirms Aegis Law Firm, PC; Cohelan Khoury & Singer; James Hawkins APLC; and Sahag Majarian II as Class Counsel.
- 8. The Court hereby confirms Plaintiffs, Louis Ames, James Guiffrida, Maritza Mora, and Linda D. Richardson as the class representatives in this Action.
- 9. The Court finds and determines that the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement are fair, reasonable, and adequate, including each of the releases (Released Class Claims, Released PAGA Claims and Plaintiffs' Released Claims) as to all Class Members, PAGA Employees, Plaintiffs and Defendant, and directs the Parties to effectuate the Settlement according to its terms having found that the Settlement was reached as a result of informed and non-collusive arm's-length negotiations facilitated by a neutral mediator. The Court further finds that the Parties conducted extensive investigation and that their attorneys were able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. The Court also finds that the Settlement will enable the Parties to avoid additional and potentially substantial litigation costs, as well as delay and risks if the

Parties were to continue to litigate the case. The Court has reviewed the monetary recovery provided as part of the Settlement and recognizes the significant value accorded to the Participating Settlement Employees.

- 10. The Court further finds and determines that the terms of the Settlement are fair, reasonable and adequate as to the Class Claims, Released Class Claims and to each Participating Class Member and that the Settlement is ordered finally approved, and that all terms and provisions of the Settlement should be and hereby are ordered to be consummated.
- 11. By this Final Order and Judgment, as of the Effective Date of the Settlement, the Released Parties, as defined in the Settlement Agreement, are released from the Released Class Claims, Released PAGA Claims, and Plaintiffs' Released Claims as defined in the Settlement Agreement.
 - 12. The Court hereby approves the Gross Settlement Amount of \$5,000,000.00.
- 13. The Court finds and determines that the individual settlement payments to be paid to Participating Class Members as provided for by the Settlement are fair and reasonable. The Court hereby gives final approval to and orders the payment of those amounts be made to the Participating Class Members in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.
- 14. The Court approves the PAGA portions of the Settlement as providing genuine and meaningful relief, consistent with the underlying purpose of the PAGA to benefit the public.
- 15. The Court finds and determines that payment to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency of \$150,000.00 as its share of the PAGA Payment in this case is fair, reasonable, and appropriate. The Court hereby gives final approval to and orders that the payment of that amount be paid in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.
- 16. The Court finds and determines that the PAGA Employee Payment of \$50,000.00 as their share of the PAGA Payment, which will be paid to the PAGA Employees on a pro rata basis based on the number of Pay Periods that PAGA Employees worked during the PAGA Period, is fair, reasonable, and appropriate. The Court hereby gives final approval to and orders that the payment of that amount be paid in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.

17. The Court finds and determines that Plaintiffs provided adequate notice to the LWDA of the PAGA portions of the Settlement.

- 18. The Court finds and determines that the Settlement Administration Expenses incurred by CPT Group, Inc. in the amount of \$31,000.00, are fair and reasonable. The Court hereby gives final approval to and orders that the payment of that amount in accordance with the Settlement.
- 19. The Court finds and determines that Plaintiffs' Enhancement payments of \$15,000 to Louis Ames, \$15,000 to James Guiffrida, \$15,000 to Maritza Mora, and \$15,000 to Linda D. Richardson as a Plaintiffs' Enhancement payments are fair and reasonable. The Court hereby orders the Settlement Administrator to make this payment to the Plaintiffs in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 20. Pursuant to the terms of the Settlement, and the authorities, evidence and argument submitted by Class Counsel, the Court hereby awards Class Counsel attorneys' fees in the sum of \$1,666,666.67 and litigation costs of \$79,108.18 (allocated \$68,009.43 to Aegis Law Firm, PC; \$4,676.54 to Cohelan Khoury & Singer; \$4,325.88 to James Hawkins APLC; and \$2,096.33 to Sahag Majarian II). The Court finds such amounts to be fair and reasonable. The Court hereby orders the Settlement Administrator to make these payments in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 21. Nothing in this order shall preclude any action to enforce the Parties' obligations under the Settlement or under this order, including the requirement that Defendant make payment to the Participating Class Members in accordance with the Settlement.
- 22. This Final Order and Judgment is intended to be a final disposition in its entirety of the above captioned action. Without affecting the finality of this judgment in any way, the Court retains jurisdiction solely for the purposes of addressing the interpretation and enforcement of the terms of the Settlement, Settlement Administration matters, and such post-Final Judgment matters as may be appropriate under court rules or as otherwise set forth in the Settlement pursuant to C.C.P. § 664.6.

ACTION SETTLEMENT